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Several new series on the market value of outstanding government debt are reported and their methods of construction described. The new series on Federal debt are compared with o? ner existing estimates and are shown to be markedly superior to them.

## 1. Introdaction

In this article, I report and describe the corutruction of several new statistical series on the market value of outs anding government debt. The construction of these series was an early step in a larger project attempting to evaluate the extent to which goverument bonds are treated as net wealth by the public. For such a purpose, the official statistics on par values of outstanding debt are useless. Although the larger study on net wealth will not be completed for some time wat, the underlying series on government debt and their method of construction seem sufficiently important to be reported now.

The series are found in tables 1 and 2 . Table 1 reports the total nominal market value of Federal, State, and Local debt outstanding. Table 2 reports the outstanding amounts of the major components of the totals in table 1 . Amounts outstanding are as of the end of the calendar year.
The method of construction is considerably more accurate than any used to date, especially for Federal debt in the years 1941-1975. when market prices and par values outstanding are available for each individual issue.

## 2. Federal debt

The only Federal debt considered was that issued by the Treasury Department. Agency debt was omitted. The reason for the fatter omission has to do with the appropriate kinds of debt to consider in investigating the net wealth issue that motivated construction of the series. In any event,

[^0]agency debt is generally negligible compared to Treasury debt, and its inclusion would make little difference. Discussion is most easily conducted by type of security.

## Bonds

(1) 1941-1975. For these years, data on over-the-counter closing quotations on public marketable securities for the last trading day of the year were obtained from the Treasury Bulletin. For a few years, data for December were not reported; in such cases, the data for the first available trading day the following January were used. The Bulletin reports the market price and the outstanding amount (valued at par) of each security. These were multiplied together, divided by 100 , and summed to give the market value of bonds outstanding for each year. ${ }^{1}$
(2) 1919-1940. The data for this period are not nearly as detailed as for subsequent years. The aggregate par value of all Treasury and Liberty bonds outstanding for December of each year was obtained from the Federal Reserve System's Banking and Monetary Statistics, 1914-1941. These were multiplied by the December bond prices obtained from the same source.

## Notes

(1) 1941-1975. The same kind of price and volume data as for bonds was used, from the same source. ${ }^{2}$
(2) 1928-1940. The aggregate par value of all Treasury notes outstanding for December of each year was obiained from Banking and Monetary Statistics. Prices for individual issues outstanding were obtained from the Wall Street Journal for the last trading day of the year. Because the amounts outstanding of each issue were not available, a simple average was taken of all the prices for each year and used to multiply the aggregate amount outstanding.
(3) 1921-1927. The same method was used as for 1928-1940, except that the prices were obtained from the Commercial and Financial Chronicle.

[^1]$$
V=\frac{F(1+R)}{(1+r)^{V}(1+r(D / 365))},
$$
where $F$ is the face value, $R$ is the coupon rate, $r$ is the market bid rate, $N$ is the number of whole years left to maturity, and $D$ is the number of days in the last fractional year to maturity. This formula ignores compoundirg of interest payments; but most notes for which the formula was used had less than one year to maturity, so the approximation is good.
(4) 1919-1929. Volume outstanding was obtained from Banking and M, intary Statistics. Prices were unavailable, so the prices for bonds were wes.

Ce tifucate of indebtedness
(1) : $767-1975$. There were no certificates outstanding.
(2) i) 42-1966. Prices are not reported for certificates, so their value was computed from the present value formula

$$
M V=\frac{F(1+R)}{(1+r(D / 365))},
$$

where $M V$ is market value, $F$ is the face value outstanding, $R$ is the coupon rate, $r$ is the market bid ate, and $D$ is the number of days left to maturity. Data on all variables on the right-hand side were obtained from the Treasury Dullein.
(3) 1935-1941. There were no certificates outs ${ }^{\circ}$ andina.
(4) 1928-1934. Aggregate par value of atl vericaates outstanding was obtained from Banking and Monetary .tistics. Prices of individual issues were obtained from the Wall Street Journal, averaged, ano used to multiply the volume. ${ }^{3}$
(5) 1921-1927. The same method was used as for 1928-1934, except that prices were obtained from the Commerical and Financial Chronicle.
(6) 1919-1920. Volume outstanding was obtained from Banking and Monetary Statistics. Prices were unavailable, so the prices for bonds were used.

## Bills

(1) 1941-1975. Because bills are sold on a discount basis, prices are not reported. The following formula, used by the securities market, gives the price for a given issue:

$$
P=100\left(1-\frac{D}{360} r\right),
$$

where $P$ is the price, $D$ is the number of days to maturity, and $r$ is the bid rate of interest. Prices obtained from this formula then were multiplied by outstanding volume to obtain market values of outsianding issues. These were summed to obtain totals for each year. All data are from the Treasury Bulletin.

[^2](2) 1930-1940. The aggregate par value of all bills outstanding was obtained from Banking and Monetary Statistics. Yields for individual issues outstanding were obtained from the Wall Street Journal for the last trading day of the year. From these, prices were obtained as for 1941-1975, averaged, and used to multiply the volume.
(3) 1929. Bills were issued only in the last muath or two of the year. Outstanding volume figures are unavailable, but it seems that the total amount was small. Consequently, the value was set at zero.
(4) 1919-1928. Bills did not yet exist.

### 2.1. Summation and adjustments

For each year, the totals obtained for bonds, notes, certificates, and bills were added together to give the total market value of marketable Federal debt outstanding for that year. This series is reported in table 1 under the name MVSUM.
One possible problem with the MVSUM series for the years after 1940 is that the Treasury Bulletin might not report every single outstanding issue. For example, issues not traded or quoted on the day being reported might be omitted (although there were instances when prices were not quoted but the issue still was listed, as mentioned in footnote 1). To check this possibility, the par values of all issuts reported were added together for each year to give the series PARSUM reported in table 3. This series them was compared with the Government's own reported figures on the aggregate par value of marketable debt, obtained from Banking and Monetary Statistics and the Annual Statistical Digest, 1971-1975, and reported in table 3 as PARGOV. Note that before 1941, when the Treasury Bulletin did not report the individual issues, PARGOV was used as the measure of the aggregate par value of outstanding debt, as explained above; this is why PARGOV and PARSUM are identical before 1941.

The ratio of PARGOV to PARSUM is reported in table 4 as RATIOI. For most years, this ratio exceeds one, suggesting omissions from the Treasury Bulletin. The few observations when RATIOI is less than one are somewhat puazling. They may indicate emissions from the Government's reported totals, or they may indicate years when certain issues reported in the Treasury Bulletin should have been omitted. On the assumption that the Government's reported totals are always correct, I have multiplied MVSUM by RATIO1 to obtain the adjusted market value series MVSUMA, reported in table 1.

### 2.2. Holdings by federal institutions

For many purposes, it is desirable to elininate the Federal debt held by
the Federal Reserve System. (For example, this debt implies no net taxes for the public.) This was done by multiplying the total market value figure MVSUMA by one minus the fraction of total marketable Federal cebt outstanding, valued at par, held by the Federal Reserve. This calculation assumes that the Federal Reserve's holdings have the same composition as the total marketable Federal debt. The resulting figures are reported in tat:e 1 under the name MVPRIV1. The par value of securities held by the Federal Reserve is reported in table 3 as $F R S E C$.

For other purposes, it also may be desirable to eliminate the Federal debt held by Federal agencies and trust funds. This was done by multiplying the MVSUMA figure by one minus the iraction of total marketable Federal debt outstanding, valued at par, held by the Federal Reserve and by the Federal agencies and tiust funds. For the years 1919-1935, data on agency and trust fund holdings were obtained by averaging the end-of-fiscal year figures for the current and succeeding years as reported in Banking and Monetary Statistics. For the years 1936-1975, data were obisuied from the Treasury Bulletin. As with the correction for Federal $f$ er $\%$ oldings, I have assumed in making this calculation that the agency . d trust fund holdings have the same composition as the total marketable $\mathrm{F} \in \mathrm{deral}$ debt. The resulting figures are repurted in table 1 under the name MVPRIV2. The par value of securities held by the agencies and trust funds is reported in table 3 as ATFSEC.

### 2.3. Savings bonds, special issues, and miscellaneous issues

Savings bonds and special issues are non-marketabic issues that can be redeemed only by the original purchaser. Savings bonds are sold to the public; special issues are sold to Federal Government agencies and trust funds. Savings bonds and special issues constitute a significant fraction of the total Federal debt. Unfortunately, because they are not traded on the market, there are no prices quoted on them, so that it seems impossible to compute directly what their market value would be if they were traded.

One reasonable proxy of the would-be market vaiue of these issues is simply their par value, reported in table 3 under the names $S A V B O N D$ and SPEC. The data on savings bunds are from the Treasury Bulletin for 19421975 and from Banking and Monetary Statistics for 1919-1941. The data on special issues are from Banking and Monetary Statistics for 1919-1969 and the Annual Statistical Digest for 1970-1975. The amounts outstanding are as of December of each year.

Another reasonable proxy for the would-be market value is obtained by multiplying the par values of these issues by the rat., of MVSUM to PARSUM, that is, by the ratio of the market value of marketable Federal debt to the par value of that same debt. This ratio is reported in table 4 as
Table 1
(millions of dollars)."

| Date | MVSUM | MVSUMA | MVPRIVI | MVI IV2 | MVPRIV3 | MVPRIVA | MVTOTG1 | MVTOTG2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1919 | 21457 | 21457 | 21187 | 21018 | 21018 | 21187 | 27196 | 27366 |
| 1920 | 18811 | 18811 | 18567 | 18322 | 18322 | 18567 | 24666 | 24911 |
| 1921 | 20944 | 20944 | 20718 | 20335 | 20335 | 20718 | 28065 | 28448 |
| 1922 | 20298 | 20298 | 19874 | 19460 | 19460 | 19874 | 28300 | 28715 |
| 1923 | 19787 | 19787 | 19657 | 19262 | 19262 | 19657 | 28640 | 29035 |
| 1924 | 19514 | 19514 | 18971 | 18554 | 18554 | 18971 | 29277 | 29694 |
| 1925 | 19137 | 19137 | 18754 | 18300 | 18300 | 18842 | 29865 | 30407 |
| 1926 | 18345 | 18345 | 18015 | 17567 | 17567 | 18220 | 30035 | 30687 |
| 1927 | 17705 | 17705 | 17036 | 16607 | 16607 | 17374 | 30276 | 31044 |
| 1928 | 16334 | 16334 | 16096 | 15730 | 15730 | 16577 | 29261 | 30109 |
| 1929 | 15866 | 15866 | 15312 | 15016 | 15016 | 15940 | 28814 | 29738 |
| 1930 | 16113 | 16113 | 15287 | 15053 | 15053 | 16068 | 30258 | 31273 |
| 1931 | 16176 | 16176 | 15368 | 15137 | 15137 | 15761 | 30136 | 30759 |
| 1932 | 17630 | 17630 | 15936 | 15634 | 15634 | 16287 | 31628 | 32281 |
| 1933 | 18305 | 18305 | 16301 | 15721 | 15721 | 16672 | 30250 | 31200 |
| 1934 | 20244 | 20244 | 18458 | 17575 | 17575 | 19016 | 34200 | 35640 |
| 1935 | 18976 | 18976 | 1735\% | 16343 | 16444 | 18188 | 34058 | 35801 |
| 1936 | 24632 | 24632 | 22759 | 21649 | 22015 | 23757 | 40970 | 42712 |
| 1937 | 25002 | 25002 | 2345 | 21782 | 22512 | 26016 | 40250 | 43754 |
| 1938 | 28521 | 28521 | 26358 | 24777 | 25994 | 30731 | 44878 | 49615 |
| 1939 | 32158 | 32158 | 29845 | 27941 | 29998 | 36133 | 49729 | 55864 |
| 1940 | 34959 | 34959 | 32805 | 30838 | 33988 | 41326 | 55348 | 62686 |
| 1941 | 44312 | 43730 | 41360 | 38977 | 45432 | 54797 | 65992 | 75357 |
| 1942 | 78335 | 78468 | 72119 | 69154 | 84591 | 96438 | 104208 | 116055 |
| 1943 | 117401 | 117488 | 105716 | 101858 | 129764 | 146344 | 149020 | 165600 |
| 1944 | 158688 | 158754 | 140240 | 134997 | 174647 | 196202 | 193482 | 215037 |
| 1945 | 200451 | 204119 | 179208 | 172018 | 221490 | 248688 | 240614 | 267812 |
| 1946 | 177937 | 181460 | 157468 | 151002 | 202148 | 233664 | 220824 | 252340 |
| 1947 | 164384 | 167721 | 144900 | 139601 | 192257 | 227131 | 211565 | 246439 |
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MVSUM is the market value of all mariketable issues of Treasury debt．MVSUMA is MVSUM adjusted for errors and omissions in the Treasury Bulietin．MVPRIV1 is MVSUMA less the estimated market value of holdings by the Federal Reserve System．MVPRIV2 is MVPRIVI less the estimated market value of hoidings by Federal agencies and trust funds．MVPRIV3 is MVPRIV2 plus the estimated market value of U．S．savings bonds outstanding，MVSAVB，reported in table 2．It is the market value of Treasury debt not held by any Federal entity．MVPRIV4 is MVPRIV1 plus $M V S A V B$ plus the estimated market values of special issues outstanding，$M V S P E C$ ，reported in table 2 ．It is the market value of all Treasury debt outstanding except that held by the Federal Reserve System．MVTOTGI is MVPRIV3 plus the market value of State and Local debt，MVSL， reported in table 2．MVTOTG2 is MVPRIV4 plus MVSL．
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Table 2
(millions of dollars). ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Date | MVBONDS | MVNOTES | MVBILES | MVCI | MVSAVB | MVSPEC | MVSL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1919 | 14186 | 4049 | 0 | 3222 | 0 | 0 | 6179 |
| 1920 | 13008 | 3596 | 0 | 2207 | 0 | 0 | 6344 |
| 1921 | 14400 | 4342 | 0 | 2202 | 0 | 0 | 7730 |
| 1922 | 15181 | 4028 | 0 | 1089 | 0 | 0 | 8841 |
| 1923 | 14797 | 4067 | 0 | 920 | 0 | 0 | 9378 |
| 1924 | 15866 | 3105 | 0 | 543 | 0 | 0 | 10723 |
| 1925 | 16290 | 2064 | 0 | 783 | 0 | 88 | 11565 |
| 1926 | 16705 | 1031 | 0 | 609 | 0 | 205 | 12468 |
| 1927 | 14545 | 1920 | 0 | 1240 | 0 | 338 | 13669 |
| 1928 | 12121 | 2275 | 0 | 1938 | 0 | 481 | 13532 |
| 1929 | 12235 | 2327 | 0 | 1305 | 0 | 628 | 13798 |
| 1930 | 12439 | 2354 | 127 | 1193 | 0 | 781 | 15205 |
| 1931 | 11638 | 2323 | 572 | 1643 | 0 | 393 | 14998 |
| 1932 | 12432 | 2394 | 642 | 2162 | 0 | 351 | 15994 |
| 1933 | 13346 | 2332 | 1002 | 1625 | 0 | 371 | 14529 |
| 1934 | 14895 | 2402 | 1953 | 994 | 0 | 558 | 16625 |
| 1935 | 14175 | 2399 | 2402 | 0 | 102 | 728 | 17613 |
| 1936 | 20055 | 2376 | 2201 | 0 | 366 | 632 | 18955 |
| 1937 | 20681 | 2370 | 1951 | 0 | 731 | 2227 | 17738 |
| 1938 | 24821 | 2394 | 1306 | 0 | 1217 | 3156 | 18884 |
| 1939 | 28306 | 2398 | 1455 | 0 | 2057 | 4231 | 19731 |
| 1940 | 31259 | 2390 | 1310 | 0 | 3151 | 5370 | 21360 |
| 1941 | 36580 | 5633 | 2098 | 0 | 6454 | 6982 | 20560 |
| 1942 | 51277 | 9869 | 6624 | 10566 | 15437 | 9882 | 19617 |
| 1943 | 70142 | 11235 | 13067 | 22958 | 27906 | 12721 | 19256 |
| 1944 | 94013 | 17700 | 16421 | 30554 | 39650 | 16312 | 18834 |
| 1945 | 125429 | 19655 | 17029 | 38338 | 49472 | 20008 | 19124 |
| 1946 | 123979 | 10137 | 17030 | 26791 | 51146 | 25050 | 18676 |
| 1947 | 119518 | 11569 | 15115 | 18182 | 52656 | 29574 | 19309 |
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 ${ }^{2}$ MVBONDS is the market value of all Treasury bonds outstanding. MVNOTES is the market value of all Treasury cotes outstanding. MVBILLS is the market vaiue of all Treasury bills outstanding. MVCI is the market value of all Treasury certificates of indebiedness outstanding. MVSAVB is the market value of all U.S. savings bonde outstanding. MVSPEC is the maiket value of all Federal special issues outstanding. MVSL is the market value of all net State and Local debt outstanding.

Table 3
(millions of dollars). ${ }^{2}$

| Year | PARSUM | PARGOV | FRSEC | AFTSEC | SAVBOND | SPEC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1919 | 23815 | 23815 | 300 | 188 | 0 | 0 |
| :920 | 22105 | 22105 | 287 | 288 | 0 | 0 |
| 1921 | 21653 | 21653 | 234 | 396 | 0 | 0 |
| 1922 | 20867 | 20867 | 436 | 426 | 0 | 0 |
| 1923 | 20380 | 20380 | 134 | 407 | 0 | 0 |
| 1924 | 19413 | 19413 | 540 | 415 | 0 | 0 |
| 1925 | 18749 | 18749 | 375 | 445 | 0 | 88 |
| 1926 | 17496 | 17496 | 315 | 427 | 0 | 205 |
| 1927 | 16336 | 16336 | 617 | 396 | 0 | 338 |
| 1928 | 15646 | 15646 | 228 | 351 | 0 | 481 |
| 1929 | 14630 | 14630 | 511 | 273 | 0 | 628 |
| 1930 | 14219 | 14219 | 729 | 206 | 0 | 781 |
| 1931 | 16354 | 16354 | 817 | 233 | 0 | 393 |
| 1932 | 19301 | 19301 | 1855 | 330 | 0 | 351 |
| 1933 | 22258 | 22258 | 2437 | 705 | 0 | 371 |
| 1934 | 27536 | 27536 | 2430 | 1200 | 0 | 558 |
| 1935 | 28514 | 28514 | 2431 | 1526 | 153 | 728 |
| 1936 | 31944 | 31944 | 2430 | 1439 | 475 | 632 |
| 1937 | 32975 | 32975 | 2564 | 1684 | 964 | 2227 |
| 1938 | 33807 | 33907 | 2564 | 1874 | 1442 | 3156 |
| 1939 | 34539 | 34539 | 2484 | 2045 | 2209 | 4231 |
| 1940 | 33448 | 35448 | 2184 | 1995 | 3195 | 5370 |
| 1941 | 42154 | 41600 | 2254 | 2267 | 6140 | 6982 |
| 1962 | 76371 | 76500 | 6189 | 2891 | 15050 | 9000 |
| 1943 | 115115 | 115200 | 11543 | 3783 | 27363 | 12700 |
| 1944 | 161533 | 161600 | 18846 | 5337 | 40361 | 16300 |
| 1945 | 195228 | 198800 | 24262 | 7002 | 48183 | 20000 |
| 1946 | 173171 | 176600 | 23350 | 6293 | 49776 | 24600 |
| 1947 | 162502 | 165800 | 22559 | 5239 | 52053 | 29000 |
| 1948 | 151240 | 157500 | 23333 | 5477 | 55051 | 31700 |
| 1949 | 149320 | 155100 | 18885 | 5327 | 56707 | 33900 |
| 1950 | 146968 | 152400 | 20778 | 5364 | 58019 | 33700 |
| 1951 | 142.585 | 142700 | 23801 | 6379 | 57587 | 35900 |
| 1952 | 148498 | 148600 | 24697 | 6742 | 57940 | 39200 |
| 1953 | 154523 | 154600 | 25916 | 7116 | 57710 | 41200 |
| 1954 | 157748 | 157800 | 24932 | 7043 | 57672 | 42600 |
| 1955 | 163200 | 163300 | 24785 | 7798 | 57924 | 43900 |
| 1956 | 160326 | 160400 | 24915 | 8363 | 56293 | 45600 |
| 1957 | 164142 | 164200 | 24238 | 9379 | 52474 | 45800 |
| 1958 | 172338 | 175600 | 26347 | 9498 | 51192 | 44800 |
| 1959 | 188186 | 188300 | 26648 | 10098 | 48154 | 43500 |
| 1960 | 188963 | 189000 | 27384 | 10639 | 47159 | 44300 |
| 1961 | 197627 | 196000 | 28881 | 10886 | 47458 | 43500 |
| 1962 | 203012 | 203000 | 30820 | 11987 | 47535 | 43400 |
| 1963 | 205468 | 207600 | 33593 | 14137 | 48827 | 43700 |
| 1964 | 215451 | ? 212500 | 37044 | 14361 | 49734 | 46100 |
| 1965 | 214600 | 214600 | 40768 | 15512 | 50324 | 46300 |

Table 3 Continued

| Year | PARSUM | PARGOV | FKSEC | ATFSEC | SAVBOND | SPEC |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1966 | 218419 | 218000 | 44282 | 16692 | 50752 | 52000 |
| 1967 | 225071 | 226500 | 49112 | 18699 | 51581 | 57200 |
| 1968 | 235206 | 236800 | 52937 | 15403 | 51917 | 59100 |
| 1969 | 234151 | 235900 | 57154 | 16295 | 51549 | 71000 |
| 1970 | 245611 | 247713 | 62142 | 17092 | 51842 | 77931 |
| 1971 | 262037 | 262038 | 70218 | 18444 | 54275 | 85545 |
| 1972 | 265717 | 269509 | 69906 | 19360 | 57579 | 95482 |
| 1973 | 269861 | 270224 | 78516 | 20961 | 60317 | 106624 |
| 1974 | 286344 | 282891 | 80500 | 21390 | 63349 | 117761 |
| 1975 | 365191 | 363191 | 87934 | 19397 | 67464 | 118294 |

[^3]RATIO2. A necessary condition for this proxy to be good is that the maturity structure of savings bonds and special issues be similar to that of marketable securities. The proxies yielded by this method are reported $n$ table 2 as MVSAVB and MVSPEC.

Neither of these proxies is perfect. Obviously, changes in interest rates will. cause par values and would-be market values to differ. However, savings bonds and special issues can be redeemed for fixed values at any time by the holder. Savings boads can be redeemed at any time before maturity (except for a brief period immediately after purchase) according to a predetermined schedule, which includes a penalty for early redemption. Special issues can be redeemed on demand. In such circumstances, the par value is a better measure of the securities' value to their holders when market interest rates have risen above the securities' coupon rate, and the MVSAVB and MVSPEC values are better measures when interest rates are below coupon rates.

Finally, there are a small number of miscellaneous issues such as foreigndenominated issues and convertible bonds whose peculiarities render a market valuation virtually impossible. Because of this and because of their small aggregate volume, they were ignored.

## 4. Satings bonds and special issues: Inclusion in the debt series

A problem arises if one wants to know the market value of Treasur debt

Table 4

| Year | RATIOI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | RATIO2 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Year | F:ATIO1a | RATIO2 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1919 | 1 | 0.901 | 1951 | 1.0008 | 0.99264 |
| 1920 | 1 | 0.851 | 1952 | 1.0007 | 0.99148 |
|  |  |  | 1953 | 1.0005 | 0.99907 |
| 1921 | 1 | 0.96726 | 1954 | 1.0003 | 1.0026 |
| 1922 | 1 | 0.97273 | 1955 | 1.0006 | 098331 |
| 1923 | 1 | 0.9709 |  |  |  |
| 1924 | 1 | 1.0052 | 1956 | 1.0005 | 0.96055 |
| 1925 | 1 | 1.0207 | 1957 | 1.0004 | 0.98793 |
|  |  |  | 1958 | 1.0189 | 0.95927 |
| 1926 | 1 | 1.0485 | 1959 | 1.0006 | 0.94075 |
| 1927 | 1 | 1.0838 | 1960 | 1.0002 | 0.98536 |
| 1928. | 1 | 1.044 |  |  |  |
| 1929 | 1 | 1.0845 | 1961 | 0.99177 | 0.975 |
| 1930 | 1 | 1.1332 | 1962 | 0.99994 | 0.98839 |
|  |  |  | 1963 | 1.0104 | 0.9769 |
| 1931 | 1 | 0.98912 | 1964 | 0.9863 | 0.97908 |
| 1932 | 1 | 0.91342 | 1965 | 1 | 0.96394 |
| 1933 | 1 | 0.8224 |  |  |  |
| 1934 | 1 | 0.73518 | 1966 | 0.99808 | 0.97068 |
| 1935 | 1 | 0.6655 | 1967 | 1.0063 | 0.95145 |
|  |  |  | 1968 | 1.0068 | 0.94806 |
| 1936 | 1 | 0.77111 | 1969 | 1.0075 | 0.92069 |
| 1937 | 1 | 0.75823 | 1970 | 1.0086 | 0.97184 |
| 1938 | 1 | 0.84365 |  |  |  |
| 1939 | 1 | 0.93107 | 1971 | 1 | 0.98992 |
| 1940 | 1 | 0.9862 | 1972 | 1.0143 | 0.97925 |
|  |  |  | 1973 | 1.0013 | 0.96733 |
| 1941 | 0.98686 | 1.0512 | 1974 | 0.98794 | 0.97189 |
| 1942 | 1.0017 | 1.0257 | 1975 | 0.99452 | 0.98497 |
| 1943 | 1.0007 | 1.0199 |  |  |  |
| 1944 | 1.0004 | 0.98239 |  |  |  |
| 1945 | 1.0183 | 1.0268 |  |  |  |
| 1946 | 1.0198 | 1.0275 |  |  |  |
| 1947 | 1.0203 | 1.0116 |  |  |  |
| 1948 | 1.0414 | 1.0131 |  |  |  |
| 1949 | 1.0387 | 1.0027 |  |  |  |
| 1950 | 1.037 | 1.0155 |  |  |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ RATIO1 is the ratio of PARGOV to PARSUM, both of which are reported in table 3.
${ }^{6}$ RATIO2 is the ratio of MVSUM, :eported in table 1 , to PARSUM, reported in table 3.
held by the public The problem is in defining the term 'public'. In the narrowest sense, this term can mean any non-Federal entity. In this case, one would add together MVPRIV2, which is the market value of marketable issues not held by any Federal government entity, and MVSAVB, which is the market value of savings bonds (presuming MVSAVB is the appropriate measure of this value). The result is reported in table 1 as MVPRIV3.

However, many Federal agencies, such as the Postal Service, are Federally owned but off the Federal budget. They may compete with private industry to provide their services; they generate their revenue in whole or in part by their own operations without taxes. Any interest income they earn on their holdings of Treasury debt goes to reducing the price they charge for their services to the public. Holdings by such agencies of Treasury debt should not be treated as Government holdings for many purposes. Unfortunately, it would take an enormous amount of effort to sort out which agencies' holdings siould not be treated as Government holdings and how much of such holdings there are. An upper bound, then, on debt held by the public can be found simply by treating all agency and trust fund debt as if it were not held by the Gover rment. This is done by adding together MVPRIV1, which is the market value of marketable debt not held by the Federal Reserve, and MVSAVB and MVSPEC. The result is reported in table 1 as MVPRIV4.

## 3. State and Local debt

The aggregate par value of net State and Local debt outstanding was obtained from the Statistical Abstract of the United States, various issues. This value is net of government holdings. Prices were obtained from the Federal Reserve System's Annual Statistical Digest, 1971-1975, Banking and Monetary Statistics, 1941-1970, and Banking and Monetary Staristics, 1914 1941. The first two references report prices for State and Local issues; the third reference reports prices for high grade municipals. These prices are for December, whereas the volumes outstanding are for June (the end of the fist:al year). The volumes were converted to December figures by averaging w:h the succeeding year's volume. The market value series for State and Lo al debt is reported in table 2 under the name MVSL. Adding MVSL to MVPRIV3 gives one total for the market value of all government debt, reported or MVTOTG1 in table 1. Adding MVSL to MVPRIV4 gives another total, reported as MVTOTG2 in table 1.

## 4. Cumparison with other estimates

Tanner (1979) uses a different method to estimate the market value of the outstanding stock of Federal debt in the hands of the public for the years 1946-1974. He uses the formula

$$
G D E B_{t}=I P_{t}+\frac{I P_{t+1}}{1+r}+\frac{I P_{t+2}}{(1+r)} \cdots+\frac{I P_{t+m}}{(1+r)^{m}}+\frac{P V}{(1+r)^{m}},
$$

where $G D E B$ is the market value of the government debt in year $t, I P$ is the
interest payment to the public in year $t$ (assumed to remain at the same level until the debt is retired), $P V$ is the par value of the debt in the hands of the public, $r$ is the interest rate in year $t$ of government bonds, and $m$ is the average length of maturity of the deb: Because interes: payments to the public are not separated out from total interest payments, they sere approximatcd by multiplying the total figure by the share of the par value debt held $b y$ the public. The resulting GDEB series is reported in table 5.

Table 5
(billions of dollars).

| Date | GDEB | D..8 | GDEB |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1946 | 217.8 | 1961 | 200.5 |
| 1947 | 211.2 | 1962 | 207.7 |
| 1948 | 198.4 | 1963 | 210.9 |
| 1949 | 208.0 | 1964 | 209.3 |
| 1950 | 203.7 | 1965 | 207.7 |
| 1951 | 193.7 | 1966 | 198.4 |
| 1952 | 190.8 | 1967 | 195.2 |
| 1953 | 191.3 | 1968 | 207.7 |
| 1954 | 199.3 | 1969 | 198.3 |
| 1955 | 196.4 | 1970 | 204.0 |
| 1956 | 187.7 | 1971 | 223.8 |
| 1957 | 185.9 | 1972 | 237.9 |
| 1958 | 191.6 | 1973 | 249.6 |
| 1959 | 189.9 | 1974 | 246.8 |
| 1960 | 196.2 |  |  |

a $G D E E$ is Tanner's (1979) measure of the market value of Federal debt not held by any Federal entity.

The MVPRIV3 series in table 1 is the most like GDEB in the kind of debt included, and a comparison between it and GDEB reveals three striking differences. First, the MVPRIV3 series is lower than GDEB in all years except 1968. Second, the two series show different overall temporal patterns. The MVPRIV3 series falls from $\$ 202$ billion in 1946 to $\$ 169$ billion in 1951 , a drop of 16 percent, and then rises to $\$ 237$ billion in 1974 , an increase of 41 percent. The GDEB series falls from $\$ 218$ billion in 1946 to $\$ 191$ billion in 1952, a drop of 12 percent, and then rises to $\$ 247$ billion in 1974 , an increase of 29 percent. Third, the year-to-year changes in the two series are very different, as can be seen from table 6. The GDEB series shows a number of changes that differ in sign from the corresponding chenges in the MVPRIV3

Table 6
(change from preceding year; millions of dollars).

| Year | MVPRIV3C | GDEBC |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 1947 | -9891 | -6600 |
| 1948 | -6101 | -12800 |
| 1949 | 1938 | 9600 |
| 1950 | -957 | -4300 |
| 1951 | -18282 | -10000 |
| 1952 | 4754 | -2900 |
| 1953 | 5502 | 500 |
| 1954 | 4869 | 8000 |
| 1955 | 1514 | -2900 |
| 1956 | -9314 | -8700 |
| 1957 | 4667 | -1800 |
| 1958 | 2323 | 5700 |
| 1959 | 4705 | 1700 |
| 1960 | 7361 | 300 |
| 1961 | 3362 | 100 |
| 1962 | 6719 | 100 |
| 1963 | $-14: 1$ | 3200 |
| 1964 | 2542 | -1600 |
| 1965 | -5297 | -1300 |
| 1966 | 565 | -9300 |
| 1967 | -1623 | -3200 |
| 1968 | 8869 | 12500 |
| 1969 | -11904 | -9400 |
| 1970 | 17080 | 5700 |
| 1971 | 11240 | 19800 |
| 1972 | 7531 | 14100 |
| 1973 | -9372 | 11700 |
| 1974 | 13963 | -2800 |
|  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |

"MVPRIV3C is the change in MVPRIV3, reported in table 1, from the previous year.
${ }^{6} G D E B C$ is the change in GDEB, reported in table $S$, from the previous year.
series. The correlation between the two sets of changes is only 0.57 . This difference in year-to-year changes is for many purposes the most important difference between the MVPRIV3 and GDEB series becruse it implies that at least one of the series displays considerable inaccurate variation. Given that the MVPRIV3 series is extremely accurate for the post-1941 years, these differences between the MVPRIV3 and GDEB series strongly suggests that the latter is unreliable.

Part (A) of table 7 reports the correlation matrix for GDEB and several of the market value series listed in table 1. The series from table 1 are highly
Table $7^{\mathrm{a}}$

| (A) Correlation of market value series, 1946-1974 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MVSUM | MVSUMA | MVPRIV1 | MVPRIV2 | MVPRIV3 | MVPRIV4 | GDEB |
| MVSUM | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MVSUMA | 0.998 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| MVPRIV1 | 0.972 | 0.973 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |
| MVPRIV2 | 0.950 | 0.953 | 0.995 | 1.000 |  |  |  |
| MVPRIV3 | 0.962 | 0.967 | 0.966 | 0.966 | 1.000 |  |  |
| MVPRIV4 | 0.971 | 0.972 | 0.912 | 0.888 | 0.953 | 1.000 |  |
| GDEB | 0.779 | 0.788 | 0.736 | 0.737 | 0.863 | 0.852 | 1.000 |
| (B) Correlation of annual changes in market value series, 1947-1974 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | MVSUMC | MVSUMAC | MVPRIV1C | MVFRIV2C | MVPRIV3C | MVPRIV4C | GDEBC |
| MVSUMC | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MVSUMAC | 0.965 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| MVPRIV1C | 0.888 | 0.942 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |
| MVPRIV2C | 0.865 | 0.919 | 0.992 | 1.000 |  |  |  |
| MVPRIV3C | 0.869 | 0.924 | 0.967 | 0.972 | 1.000 |  |  |
| MVPRIV4C | 0.848 | 0.881 | 0.843 | 0.821 | 0.904 | 1.000 |  |
| GDEEC | 0.509 | 0.548 | 0.515 | 0.522 | 0.570 | 0.536 | 8.000 |

${ }^{2}$ The variables in part (B) are the changes from the preceding year for the variables in part (A).
correlated among themselves and less highly correlated with GDEB. Part (B) of table 7 reports the correlation matrix for the annual changes in the same series. Again, the changes for the table 1 series are highly correlated among themselves and not highly correlated with the GDEB changes. It seems that any of the series from table 1 is preferable to GDEB.

Yawitz and Meyer (1976) use still a different method for constructing a market value for Federal debt, but they do not report their series. Consequently, a comparison of it with the series constructed in this paper is not possible. However, their method is related to Tanner's, so there is some reason to believe that it, too, produces unreliable estimates.

It is interesting and somewhat surprising that the par value of Federal debt seems to be a better proxy for the market value than does Tanner's GDEB (or, presumably, Yawitz and Meyer's series). For example, the correlation between the par value of those same securities included in MVPRIV3 and the market vaiue MVPRIV3 itself is 0.974 for 1947-1974, compared to 0.863 between MVPRIV3 and CDEB The correlation between annual changes in par values and in the MViたIV: alues is 0.871 for 19471974, compared to 0.570 between change in MVPRIV3 and in GDEB. Similar results hols for the other market value series reported in table 1 and their correspondiny par value series.

## 5. Summary

In this paner I have reported several new series on the market value of outstanding government debt. The underlying data are very accurate, especially for Federal debt after 1941, leading to very accurate market value estimates. A comparison with other series constructed by other methods shows that the series reported here are a considerable improvement over previous estimates.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Occasionally, an essential piece of data is not reported for an issue, such as the price or volume cutstanding. In such a case, the issue was ignored.
    ${ }^{2}$ Occasionally, the price of an issue is not reported. In such cases, the market value of the issue was estimated uising the present value formula:

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ Prices on the last trading day of the year wewe used except for 1934, wt. n the last certificates outstanding were redeemed at the end of November. For 1934 , the last price available was used.

[^3]:    ${ }^{\text {E PARSUM }}$ is the par value of all marketable issues of Treasury debt outstanding, obtained by adding together for each year the par values of the individual issues outstanding as reported in the Treasury Bulletin. PARGOV is the par value of all markciabie issues of Treasury debt outstanding as reported by the Federal Government it elf in sunking and Monetary Statistics and the Anmual Statistical Digest. FRSEC is the par va $:=$ of $t^{-}$ketable issues of Treasury debt held by the Federal Reserve System. ATFSEC is the value of marketable issues of Treast sy debt held by Federal agencies and trust funds. SAVic: $D$ is the par value of all U.S. savings bonds outstanding. SPEC is the par value of all Federal special issues outstanding.

