

## **Technical appendix to the book « Capital et ideology »**

Thomas Piketty

Harvard University Press - March 2020

<http://piketty.pse.ens.fr/ideology>

### **Figures and tables presented in this book**

#### **Introduction**

[Figure 0.1. Health and education in the world, 1820–2020](#)

[Figure 0.2. World population and income, 1700–2020](#)

[Figure 0.3. The rise of inequality around the world, 1980–2018](#)

[Figure 0.4. Inequality in different regions of the world in 2018](#)

[Figure 0.5. The elephant curve of global inequality, 1980–2018](#)

[Figure 0.6. Inequality, 1900–2020: Europe, United States, and Japan](#)

[Figure 0.7. Top income tax rates, 1900–2020](#)

[Figure 0.8. Parental income and university access, United States, 2014](#)

[Figure 0.9. Transformation of political and electoral conflict, 1945–2020: Emergence of a multiple-elites party system, or great reversal?](#)

### **Part One. Inequality Regimes in History**

#### **Chapter 1. Ternary Societies: Trifunctional Inequality**

[Figure 1.1. The structure of ternary societies: Europe-India, 1660–1880](#)

#### **Chapter 2. European Societies of Orders: Power and Property**

[Figure 2.1. Population shares in French ternary society, 1380–1780 \(as percentage of total population\)](#)

[Figure 2.2. Share of nobility in Paris inheritances, 1780–1910](#)

[Figure 2.3. The Church as property-owning organization, 1750–1780](#)

[Table 2.1. Clergy and nobility in France, 1380–1780 \(as percent of total population\)](#)

[Table 2.2. Clergy and nobility in France, 1380–1780 \(as percent of total adult male population\)](#)

### **Chapter 3. The Invention of Ownership Societies**

[Table 3.1. Progressive tax proposals in eighteenth-century France](#)

### **Chapter 4. Ownership Societies: The Case of France**

[Figure 4.1. The failure of the French Revolution: The rise of proprietary inequality in nineteenth-century France](#)

[Figure 4.2. The distribution of property in France, 1780–2015](#)

[Figure 4.3. The distribution of income in France, 1780–2015](#)

[Table 4.1. Composition of Parisian wealth in the period 1872–1912 \(in percent\)](#)

### **Chapter 5. Ownership Societies: European Trajectories**

[Figure 5.1. The weight of the clergy in Europe, 1530–1930](#)

[Figure 5.2. The weight of the nobility in Europe, 1660–1880](#)

[Figure 5.3. Evolution of male suffrage in Europe, 1820–1920](#)

[Figure 5.4. Distribution of property in the United Kingdom, 1780–2015](#)

[Figure 5.5. Distribution of property in Sweden, 1780–2015](#)

[Figure 5.6. Extreme wealth inequality: European ownership societies in the Belle Époque, 1880–1914](#)

[Figure 5.7. Income inequality in European ownership societies in the Belle Époque, 1880–1914](#)

## **Part Two. Slave and Colonial Societies**

### **Chapter 6. Slave Societies: Extreme Inequality**

[Figure 6.1. Atlantic slave societies, eighteenth and nineteenth centuries](#)

[Figure 6.2. A slave island in expansion: Saint-Domingue, 1700–1790](#)

[Figure 6.3. Proportion of slaves in the United States, 1790–1860](#)

[Figure 6.4. The rise and fall of Euro-American slavery, 1700–1890](#)

[Table 6.1. The structure of the slave and free population in the United States, 1800–1860](#)

### **Chapter 7. Colonial Societies: Diversity and Domination**

[Figure 7.1. The proportion of Europeans in colonial societies](#)

[Figure 7.2. Inequality in colonial and slave societies](#)

[Figure 7.3. Extreme inequality in historical perspective](#)

[Figure 7.4. The top centile in historical and colonial perspective](#)

[Figure 7.5. Extreme inequality: Colonial and postcolonial trajectories](#)

[Figure 7.6. Subsistence income and maximal inequality](#)

[Figure 7.7. The top centile in historical perspective \(with Haiti\)](#)

[Figure 7.8. Colonies for the colonizers: Inequality of educational investment in historical perspective](#)

[Figure 7.9. Foreign assets in historical perspective: The Franco-British colonial apex](#)

## **Chapter 8. Ternary Societies and Colonialism: The Case of India**

[Figure 8.1. Population of India, China, and Europe, 1700–2050](#)

[Figure 8.2. The religious structure of India, 1871–2011](#)

[Figure 8.3. The evolution of ternary societies: Europe-India 1530–1930](#)

[Figure 8.4. The rigidification of upper castes in India, 1871–2014](#)

[Figure 8.5. Affirmative action in India, 1950–2015](#)

[Figure 8.6. Discrimination and inequality in comparative perspective](#)

[Table 8.1. The structure of the population in Indian censuses, 1871–2011](#)

[Table 8.2. The structure of high castes in India, 1871–2014 \(percentage of population\)](#)

## **Chapter 9. Ternary Societies and Colonialism: Eurasian Trajectories**

[Figure 9.1. State fiscal capacity, 1500–1780 \(tons of silver\)](#)

[Figure 9.2. State fiscal capacity, 1500–1850 \(days of wages\)](#)

[Figure 9.3. The evolution of ternary societies: Europe-Japan 1530–1870](#)

## **Part Three. The Great Transformation of the Twentieth Century**

### **Chapter 10. The Crisis of Ownership Societies**

[Figure 10.1. Income inequality in Europe and the United States, 1900–2015](#)

[Figure 10.2. Income inequality, 1900–2015: The diversity of Europe](#)

[Figure 10.3. Income inequality, 1900–2015: The top centile](#)

[Figure 10.4. Wealth inequality in Europe and the United States, 1900–2015](#)

[Figure 10.5. Wealth inequality, 1900–2015: The top centile](#)

[Figure 10.6. Income vs. wealth inequality in France, 1900–2015](#)

[Figure 10.7. Income versus wealth in the top centile in France, 1900–2015](#)

[Figure 10.8. Private property in Europe, 1870–2020](#)

[Figure 10.9. The vicissitudes of public debt, 1850–2020](#)

[Figure 10.10. Inflation in Europe and the United States, 1700–2020](#)

[Figure 10.11. The invention of progressive taxation, 1900–2018: The top income tax rate](#)

[Figure 10.12. The invention of progressive taxation, 1900–2018: The top inheritance tax rate](#)

[Figure 10.13. Effective rates and progressivity in the United States, 1910–2020](#)

[Figure 10.14. The rise of the fiscal state in the rich countries, 1870–2015](#)

[Figure 10.15. The rise of the social state in Europe, 1870–2015](#)

[Figure 10.16. Demography and the balance of power in Europe](#)

## **Chapter 11. Social-Democratic Societies: Incomplete Equality**

[Figure 11.1. Divergence of top and bottom incomes, 1980–2018](#)

[Figure 11.2. Bottom and top incomes in France and the United States, 1910–2015](#)

[Figure 11.3. Labor productivity, 1950–2015 \(2015 euros\)](#)

[Figure 11.4. Labor productivity in Europe and the United States](#)

[Figure 11.5. The fall of the bottom 50 percent share in the United States, 1960–2015](#)

[Figure 11.6. Low and high incomes in Europe, 1980–2016](#)

[Figure 11.7. Low and high incomes in the United States, 1960–2015](#)

[Figure 11.8. Low incomes and transfers in the United States, 1960–2015](#)

[Figure 11.9. Primary inequality and redistribution in the United States and France](#)

[Figure 11.10. Minimum wage in the United States and France, 1950–2019](#)

[Figure 11.11. Share of private financing in education: Diversity of European and American models](#)

[Figure 11.12. Growth and inequality in the United States, 1870–2020](#)

[Figure 11.13. Growth and progressive taxation in the United States, 1870–2020](#)

[Figure 11.14. Growth and inequality in Europe, 1870–2020](#)

[Figure 11.15. Growth and progressive tax in Europe, 1870–2020](#)

[Figure 11.16. Composition of income in France, 2015](#)

[Figure 11.17. Composition of property in France, 2015](#)

[Figure 11.18. Inequalities with respect to capital and labor in France, 2015](#)

[Figure 11.19. Profile of tax structure in France, 2018](#)

## **Chapter 12. Communist and Postcommunist Societies**

[Figure 12.1. Income inequality in Russia, 1900–2015](#)

[Figure 12.2. The top centile in Russia, 1900–2015](#)

[Figure 12.3. The income gap between Russia and Europe, 1870–2015](#)

[Figure 12.4. Capital flight from Russia to tax havens](#)

[Figure 12.5. Financial assets held in tax havens](#)

[Figure 12.6. The fall of public property, 1978–2018](#)

[Figure 12.7. Ownership of Chinese firms, 1978–2018](#)

[Figure 12.8. Inequality in China, Europe, and the United States, 1980–2018](#)

[Figure 12.9. Regional inequality in the United States and Europe](#)

[Figure 12.10. Inflows and outflows in Eastern Europe, 2010–2016](#)

### **Chapter 13. Hypercapitalism: Between Modernity and Archaism**

[Figure 13.1. Population by continents, 1700–2050](#)

[Figure 13.2. Global inequality regimes, 2018](#)

[Figure 13.3. Inequality in Europe, the United States, and the Middle East, 2018](#)

[Figure 13.4. Global inequality regimes, 2018: The bottom 50 percent versus the top 1 percent](#)

[Figure 13.5. Inequality between the top 10 percent and the bottom 50 percent, 2018](#)

[Figure 13.6. Inequality between the top 1 percent and the bottom 50 percent, 2018](#)

[Figure 13.7. The global distribution of carbon emissions, 2010–2018](#)

[Figure 13.8. Top decile wealth share: Rich and emerging countries](#)

[Figure 13.9. Top centile wealth share: Rich and emerging countries](#)

[Figure 13.10. The persistence of hyperconcentrated wealth](#)

[Figure 13.11. The persistence of patriarchy in France in the twenty-first century](#)

[Figure 13.12. Tax revenues and trade liberalization](#)

[Figure 13.13. The size of central bank balance sheets, 1900–2018](#)

[Figure 13.14. Central banks and financial globalization](#)

[Table 13.1. The rise of top global wealth holders, 1987–2017](#)

## **Part Four. Rethinking the Dimensions of Political Conflict**

### **Chapter 14. Borders and Property: The Construction of Equality**

[Figure 14.1. Social cleavages and political conflict in France, 1955–2020](#)

[Figure 14.2. Electoral left in Europe and the United States, 1945–2020: From the party of workers to the party of the educated](#)

- [Figure 14.3. Legislative elections in France, 1945–2017](#)
- [Figure 14.4. The electoral left in France: Legislatives, 1945–2017](#)
- [Figure 14.5. The electoral right in France: Legislatives, 1945–2017](#)
- [Figure 14.6. Presidential elections in France, 1965–2012](#)
- [Figure 14.7. The evolution of voter turnout, 1945–2020](#)
- [Figure 14.8. Voter turnout and social cleavages, 1945–2020](#)
- [Figure 14.9. Left vote by level of education in France, 1956–2012](#)
- [Figure 14.10. The reversal of the educational cleavage in France, 1956–2017](#)
- [Figure 14.11. The left and education in France, 1955–2020](#)
- [Figure 14.12. Political conflict and income in France, 1958–2012](#)
- [Figure 14.13. Political conflict and property in France, 1974–2012](#)
- [Figure 14.14. The religious structure of the French electorate, 1967–2017](#)
- [Figure 14.15. Political conflict and Catholicism in France, 1967–2017](#)
- [Figure 14.16. Political conflict and religious diversity in France, 1967–1997](#)
- [Figure 14.17. Political conflict and religious diversity in France, 2002–2017](#)
- [Figure 14.18. Political attitudes and origins in France, 2007–2012](#)
- [Figure 14.19. Borders and property: The four-way ideological divide in France](#)
- [Figure 14.20. The European cleavage in France: The 1992 and 2005 referenda](#)
- [Table 14.1. Political-ideological conflict in France in 2017: An electorate divided into four quarters](#)

## **Chapter 15. Brahmin Left: New Euro-American Cleavages**

- [Figure 15.1. Presidential elections in the United States, 1948–2016](#)
- [Figure 15.2. Democratic vote by diploma, 1948–2016](#)
- [Figure 15.3. The Democratic Party and education: United States, 1948–2016](#)
- [Figure 15.4. The Democratic vote in the United States, 1948–2016: From the workers' party to the party of the highly educated](#)
- [Figure 15.5. Political conflict and income in the United States, 1948–2016](#)
- [Figure 15.6. Social cleavages and political conflict: United States, 1948–2016](#)
- [Figure 15.7. Political conflict and ethnic identity: United States, 1948–2016](#)
- [Figure 15.8. Political conflict and racial cleavage in the United States, 1948–2016](#)
- [Figure 15.9. Political conflict and origins: France and United States](#)
- [Figure 15.10. Legislative elections in the United Kingdom, 1945–2017](#)
- [Figure 15.11. Labour Party and education, 1955–2017](#)
- [Figure 15.12. From the workers' party to the party of the highly educated: The Labour vote, 1955–2017](#)

[Figure 15.13. The electoral left in Europe and the United States, 1945–2020: From the workers' party to the party of the highly educated](#)

[Figure 15.14. Political conflict and income in the United Kingdom, 1955–2017](#)

[Figure 15.15. Social cleavages and political conflict: United Kingdom, 1955–2017](#)

[Figure 15.16. Political conflict and religious diversity in the United Kingdom, 1964–2017](#)

[Figure 15.17. Political conflict and ethnic categories in the United Kingdom, 1979–2017](#)

[Figure 15.18. The European cleavage in the United Kingdom: The 2016 Brexit referendum](#)

## **Chapter 16. Social Nativism: The Postcolonial Identitarian Trap**

[Figure 16.1. The reversal of the educational cleavage, 1950–2020: United States, France, United Kingdom, Germany, Sweden, and Norway](#)

[Figure 16.2. Political cleavage and education, 1960–2020: Italy, the Netherlands, Switzerland, Canada, Australia, and New Zealand](#)

[Figure 16.3. Political conflict and income in Poland, 2001–2015](#)

[Figure 16.4. Political conflict and education in Poland, 2001–2015](#)

[Figure 16.5. Catalan regionalism and income, 2008–2016](#)

[Figure 16.6. Catalan regionalism and education, 2008–2016](#)

[Figure 16.7. Legislative elections in India \(Lok Sabha\), 1962–2014](#)

[Figure 16.8. The BJP vote by caste and religion in India, 1962–2014](#)

[Figure 16.9. Congress party vote by caste and religion in India, 1962–2014](#)

[Figure 16.10. The left vote by caste and religion in India, 1962–2014](#)

[Figure 16.11. The BJP vote among the high castes, 1962–2014](#)

[Figure 16.12. The BJP vote among the lower castes, 1962–2014](#)

[Figure 16.13. The BJP and the religious cleavage in India, 1962–2014](#)

[Figure 16.14. The BJP vote by caste, religion, and state in India, 1996–2016](#)

[Figure 16.15. The politicization of inequality in Brazil, 1989–2018](#)

## **Chapter 17. Elements for a Participatory Socialism for the 21<sup>st</sup> Century**

[Table 17.1. Circulation of property and progressive taxation](#)

[Figure 17.1. Inequality of educational investment in France, 2018](#)

[Table 17.2. A new organization of globalization: transnational democracy](#)